

# "Unconference" Open Session - Data Sharing Policies and Protocols; Information Security

Session Name: Data Sharing Policies and Protocols

OHIE18 Event Page - [ohie.org/OHIE18](http://ohie.org/OHIE18)

Time / Room: 9:30 - 10:30 Faru

Presenter: Brian Dixon; Terry Cullen

Attendees: ( **please sign up if you want to be on a policy workgroup**)

terry cullen.. [thcullen@regenstrief.org](mailto:thcullen@regenstrief.org)

Etherpad: [https://notes.ohie.org/2018-08-02\\_Unconference\\_Faru\\_930](https://notes.ohie.org/2018-08-02_Unconference_Faru_930)

What did people want from the session:

1. standard approach to sharing
2. security within /for OpenHIE
3. data sharing policies /data exchange/ data sharing template
4. MoH guidance
5. what are the 'best practices' that can be evaluated and re-used
6. what is the/ a policy development process that can be invoked
7. what are the policy gaps (TC added this)

Notes:

- Introduction and desired outcomes for the session
  - Not everything is going to be addressed unfortunately
- Tech implementation usually runs ahead of policy
- See slides on the wiki for most of the presentation, I'll only be documenting extra points ([https://wiki.ohie.org/download/attachments/28311574/Cullen\\_Dixon\\_OHIE\\_2018\\_Data\\_Sharing.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1533195013293&api=v2](https://wiki.ohie.org/download/attachments/28311574/Cullen_Dixon_OHIE_2018_Data_Sharing.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1533195013293&api=v2))
- Nobody is doing data sharing policies very well in our review of the data policies and procedures
- India just published national guidelines, link to be provided by Terry
  - initial guidance <https://mohfw.gov.in/sites/default/files/17739294021483341357.pdf>
  - National Health Stack (published July 2018) [http://niti.gov.in/writereaddata/files/document\\_publication/NHS-Strategy-and-Approach-Documents-for-consultation.pdf](http://niti.gov.in/writereaddata/files/document_publication/NHS-Strategy-and-Approach-Documents-for-consultation.pdf)
    - india is assuming the development of a 'policy engine' and policy repository
- Most countries assume that a patient presenting for treatment counts as consent to use data, very few implement explicit patient consent systems
  - There has been some work on creating a standard template for consent forms
  - Robust consent forms have granular selection of data usage (e.g. Clinical care, research, 3rd party health systems, clinical quality and data aggregation)
- Resource: <http://regenstriefins.wpengine.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/06/hieframework-version0-8clean-2-4.pdf>
  - Countries involved have all signed consent to use the contents of this resource
- Brian's presentation
  - work is based on trust
  - framework for governance
    - governing body
    - policies and procedures
    - data sharing agreements
  - procedures:
    - Tech ops: to say how the organization will manage the data center where data will be stored
    - keep data safe, show the process management to the partners
  - purpose of use and users:
    - define the use cases, permitted purposes

- identify permitted users and their roles; it will allow to know who to give data access
- P&P documentation:
  - governance charter, partners to agree to share data for specific use cases, data sharing agreement
- How to keep data confident, secured and accessible at the same time
- Clinical team has access to admitted patient's data for 72 hours
- 6 mths for patient who scheduled appointment with GP
- and 3mths to nurse epidemiologists for patient with notifiable disease

Questions:

1. how do you engage citizens
  - a. have people on the governing board
  - b. public advertisement- here is what we do and why it is important
  - c. 'lay people' have some concerns about sharing my data
2. monitoring for appropriate use
  - a. specific queries that have been developed
  - b. patients can get transcribed auditlog for their patient data use (and notification to partners that a patient has requested it)
  - c. thresh holds of queries and use of the data
3. opting out of the HIE
  - a. if you opt out, you dont get any data shared
  - b. granular access is tricky (patients dont want to give access to specific data information sets)
4. phased approach to patient consent
  - a. how do we atart a consent maturity process
  - b. not necessary because patients 'are happy to get care'
  - c. health sector
    - i. legal framework and policy network
    - ii. implement from where the patient is
    - iii. information security- less granluar and then move and evolve over time
5. legislation that reflects the reality of where we are
  - a. maturity model that is developed
6. lag in policy- 'pilot policy' development and evaluation
  - a. test and implement policies
  - b. use cases
7. what is our responsibility as HIS people
  - a. policies, procedures, governance and security- policies may be delayed as the technology advances
8. HIPAA/GDPR- and what to do with GDPR
  - a. comparison of GDPR and HIPAA
9. Policies developed-- who is policy meant for? (guidance and how we learn/how to move forward)
  - a. usually not based on reality
  - b. organizational capacity/reality (institution that starts implementing)
  - c. patient and infrastructure- doesnt allow things to happen
  - d. use this within an entire health system context

Next Steps:

1. balance practical experiences with the realities on the ground
  - a. practical guidance to countries
  - b. looking at what is available; different laws and studies
  - c. implement and learn from the guidance
  - d. risks and risk assessment/ risk tolerance
2. cross border sharing of data
3. how do we help each other move forward
  - a. what is everyone doing and how are they implementing it
  - b. what are the best practices
4. capability maturity model that is based on reality
  - a. where are we, and how do we move forward

SIGN UP FOR MORE INFO/COMMS:

Daniel Futerman ([daniel.futerman@jembi.org](mailto:daniel.futerman@jembi.org))