01 September 2020 DUC Community Meeting

In this meeting, we introduced a new collaboration tool, Discourse. This tool will allow us to archive discussions that take place during meetings and serve as a forum to continue conversations after the end of the meetings. You may access the DUC Discourse Tool at https://discourse.duc.ohie.org/. Please sign up and introduce yourself.

Through our DUC conversations and qualitative interviews of members, we have noted that clinical staff have anecdotal experiences around HIV retention and LTFU that are not being captured and shared. There may be localized solutions to address LTFU, but they are at varying levels of maturity and adoption and sharing learnings and best practices have been difficult. Through the use of Discourse, we will gather information on anecdotal experiences and support clinicians in interpreting that information. Furthermore, Discourse will allow our community to document and build consensus around solutions together.

During the meeting we noted three areas related to LTFU/retention to stimulate conversation:

- 1. Appointment Management The ability to know which patients are supposed to return and when they are supposed to return.
- 2. "Missed Appointment" Identification Identification of patients who have missed an appointment or a medication pick-up and require intervention.
- 3. "Missed Appointment" Resolution The methods for documenting the status of a patient that has missed an appointment or a medication pick-up and integrating this data into appointment management and defaulter tracing strategies.

Across these three topic areas, data quality issues related to accuracy of a patient's retention status was deemed a challenge. This may lead to wasted efforts on patients that do not need follow-up. Many facilities are still using paper-based systems for appointment management. Most dispensaries do not have EHRs and not all facilities have them. Analyzing paper data or sharing data between facilities is a challenge.

Our participants shared some solutions they have used to address patient tracing. For example, in Malawi, Lighthouse Trust has a successful tracing program that uses a combination of texts, calls, and home visits. Other participants shared both SMS and community health worker outreach as a means of finding patients. Several participants noted that it is difficult to get resources for digital tools and that pooling resources to develop tools that can be used in multiple settings will more likely be successful. Furthermore, LTFU/retention is an issue across the care spectrum and should not be limited to HIV only solutions. There was consensus on searching for solutions that worked across silos of care/funding.

Another participant pointed out that many of the solutions we were discussing were from the clinician's perspective. LTFU has a component from the patient's perspective, intentionally or unintentionally. We need to look holistically to understand why patients miss appointments or do not return to care which cannot be determined with a tracking system. Participants suggested questionnaires regarding LTFU and areas for improvement to understand the patient perspective better and being able to tie that data back to the tracking system. Finally, we discussed the ongoing challenges for tracing patients who may not have provided accurate information due to HIV stigma and have consented to be located for treatment. These challenges have been further exacerbated by patients foregoing care due to fears of COVID-19.

Impact Squads: Moving forward, we will be forming Impact Squads, or small working groups, to focus on thematic areas. Impact Squads will help to identify challenges, create problem statements, propose solutions, and evaluate and test ideas, and document results. The DUC is a place to hear and learn from practitioners but also an opportunity to build consensus. The hope is that consensus will result in the development and adoption of best practices that affect change in the way resources are allocated and spent in the future.



Special Topic: USAID Map and Match Activity

Merrick Schaefer, Development Informatics Team Lead for USAID's Innovation Lab, presented on USAID's Map and Match activity. The goal of this activity is to map global good adaptations and implementations for COVID-19 and to match the solutions to country needs. The activity makes use of the Digital Health Atlas (DHA) as a mechanism for tracking the digital tools. The activity will produce country briefs to increase visibility of in situ digital health tools that can support COVID-19 response. This information can be used in advocating for adapting and scaling tools where gaps exist and coordinating efforts to meet country needs.

The complete slide deck can be viewed here: <u>USAID Map and Match Activity Presentation</u>