

Summit After Action Review: Content and Logistics

What did we want to happen?

- I wanted good feedback on how we can move architecture forward in a way that supports implementers
- GET A CLEAR SENSE OF CURRENT STATE FOR OHIE
- Get to know the community
- Diverse participation - more voices
- See what the community wants to focus on for 2021
- Understand OHIE needs for 2021
- I was expecting some more critical reflection on what our successes and failures have been (primarily around implementation)
- Talk about testing and FHIR
- I started to understand more what's been happening since the last summit and what is there for 2021. Better understood the community

What should we continue?

- schedule was well defined, and adhered.
- Schedule was good - number of hours not overwhelming
- Good group interaction
- Length of summit was great, but didn't allow for deep dives, critical reflection etc.
- Interaction board is really good to pen down some ideas
- Schedule was good
- Group participation was awesome, first time to have used the Stickers, they are really great.
- structure was good and breakout rooms for discussion was good. Facilitator in each room. - BJ
- glad it was virtual so I can attend - BJ
- Metroretro is better than real-life stickies!
- Continue creating ways to engage
- Thank you for not doing 6 or 8 hours

What happened?

- diverse voices and lots of active participation
- There were FHIR puns!
- Break-outs were helpful
- New voices, with great insights and sharing of experiences
- Architecture Summit went virtual, tools worked well (breakout rooms, retro boards etc)
- Lots of good input
- More of the same, with more documents, tests and FHIR IGs
- Got more clarity on roles for testing and IGs
- A lot of small group discussions on a variety of OHIE topics
- Tajul! Now to know the variety of what's needed in OHIE - a guide of the stakeholders and why they are here, understand how they are
- I did get to know the community
- I did grasp current state of the architecture and was able to observe active participation
- Observed the need for more standardized practice for architecture documentation

What should we improve?

- Length of summit was great, but didn't allow for deep dives, critical reflection etc.
- there weren't enough FHIR puns...
- More critical reflection on outcomes & failures (Group implementation)
- It would be nice to have to begin presentations with the large group, reflecting on the current state of OHIE
- provide a map/links who guide to the community, list of different types of orgs and people engaged for different roles
- SWOT Analysis, what works what doesn't
- Need more critical reflection
- Need more discussion on how OpenOHIE works in the world
- Spent some time talking about some fail implementations
- More focus on implementation, any specific case
- Testing was good for me in knowledge but not helpful to know how real time availability of network in real time. Having to make calls to catch up when they're
- Networking opportunities during breaks. (Not sure how this is possible)
- How can the coffee breaks and networking happen?
- More diverse participants
- Is there a guide of the stakeholders and why they are coming and what they are interested in?
- Try and get some MOH folks in future meetings
- timing was hard in Africa time zone
- After COVID - remember that virtual is accessible
- For some it was outside of hours for timing - but since it was kept minimal it wasn't reliable.
- It might be useful to have some content on some threads of real (planning and strategically relevant) implementation to ground technical discussion
- Share sheet it might be useful to have some content on some threads of real (planning and strategically relevant) implementation to ground technical discussion
- Kalechristos: chat! Everything was good. Would like to hear OHIE implementation status. Try and get some MOH folks in future meetings.